## PBO-Scientific Literacy Project Grading Rubric

Your expert essays in this course will be evaluated using the following grading rubric.

|  | 0 points | 1 point | 2 points | 3 points | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title \& Abstract Page (addend) | Title only; no abstract provided or inappropriate. | Abstract rambling, imprecise, and not comprehensive; on separate page with title. | Abstract rambling, imprecise, or not comprehensive; on separate page with title. | Abstract concise, comprehensive, clearly communicates nature of essay's contents; on separate page with title. |  |
| Organization (addend) | Thesis statement, introduction, body and close essentially indistinguishable; mostly specific information with few generalities. | Has some of the essential components but is disorganized; no real movement from generalities to specifics. | Has all the essential components, but is disorganized; moves generally from generalities to the specifics. | Thesis statement, introduction, body, and close clearly discernable; essay moves regularly from generalities to specifics. |  |
| Writing Style (addend) | Too familiar (e.g., repeated use of "you"); rambling commentary; poorly formulated paragraphs. | Mixed style; mostly too familiar; somewhat professional, and/or poorly formulated paragraphs. | Mixed style; mostly professional; somewhat too familiar; well formulated paragraphs. | Suitable for journal publication with a few minor revisions; well formulated paragraphs. |  |
| Professionalism (addend) | Unprofessional; no evidence of significant use of references; provides mostly general commentary and personal opinions; strong evidence of "surface learning" | Less professional; some material of substance, but lots of personal commentary; could be enhanced considerably; shows more "surface learning" than "deep learning" | More professional; material mostly of substance, but includes some opinions; could be enhanced somewhat; shows more "deep learning" than "surface learning" | Very profession; clear evidence of use of several references; material of substantive value; research based; no needed improvement; strong evidence of "deep learning" |  |
| Accuracy <br> (addend) | Multiple and gross errors in fact; grossly inaccurate conclusions. | Multiple minor errors in fact; poorly drawn conclusions. | Minor errors in fact; reasonably well drawn conclusions. | No discernable errors in fact; wellgrounded conclusions. |  |
| Citations (addend) | Cleary uses others' ideas without making in-line citations giving credit due. | Often uses other's ideas without making in-line citations. | Once or twice uses other's ideas without making in-line citations. | Makes appropriate use of in-line citations to credit due to others. |  |
| Completeness (addend) | Addresses full range of subject matter poorly. | Addresses full range of subject matter irregularly. | Addresses full range of subject matter adequately. | Addresses full range of subject matter very thoroughly. |  |
| Spelling, Grammar, and Punctuation (addend) | Poorly written with numerous spelling, grammatical, and/or punctuation errors; a number of major and minor grammatical errors; essentially unreadable. | Tolerably well written; a fair number of minor spelling, grammatical, and/or punctuation errors; a few major error; confusing to reader; no evidence of regular revision \& proofing. | Reasonably well written; a few minor spelling, grammatical or punctuation errors; easy and interesting reading; evidence of regular revision and proof reading. | Well written; no grammatical errors; insignificant number of punctuation errors; no spelling errors; easy and interesting reading; clear evidence of regular revision \& proofing. |  |
| Continued Next Page |  |  |  |  |  |



